REMARKS

A. Introduction

Claims 1, 3-18, 20 and 21 were presented for examination.

Claims 1, 3-18, 20 and 21 were rejected.

Claims 1, 3-18, 20 and 21 are amended.

B. Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Examiner continued the rejection of Claims 1 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,458,388 to Genis et al (Genis). In a telephone interview with Examiner on January 27, 2005, Examiner told the undersigned attorney that the Genis patent would be removed as a reference if Claim 1 and all dependent claims therefrom were amended to clarify the non-aqueous or anhydrous nature of the present composition. Each of the pending claims has been amended to clarify this novel feature of the present invention. In light of these amendments, Claims 1 and 3 should be allowable. An affidavit under Rule 132 has been provided to confirm the clear distinction between aqueous and non-aqueous compositions in this field. Furthermore, the inventors have provided experimental data for their experiments in this area.

C. Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Examiner continued the rejection of Claims 17, 18 and 20 and presented a new rejection of Claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,458,388 to Genis et al (Genis). In addition, Examiner continued the rejection of Claims 12, 13, 15, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,458,388 to Genis et al (Genis) in view of EP 1074245 (EP '245). Last, Examiner continued the rejection of Claims 4-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,458,388 to Genis et al (Genis) and EP 1074245 (EP '245).

As noted concerning the Section 102 claim rejections, appropriate amendments were made to the claims as recommended by the Examiner to remove Genis as a reference against the claims of the present invention. Furthermore, in the telephone interview with the Examiner on January 27, 2005, Examiner told the undersigned attorney that the EP '245 patent would be removed as a referenced if it was clarified that the 50% of Dead Sea minerals was by weight of the total composition. Therefore, appropriate amendment has been made to Claims 1 and 3. These amendments apply to each of the dependent claims as well. In light of these amendments, Claims 4-18, 20 and 21 should be allowable.

Conclusion

In view of the above, the Applicant submits that Claims 1, 3-18, 20 and 21 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections. Allowance of Claims 1, 3-18, 20 and 21 at an early date is solicited.

If the Examiner still finds impediments to allowance of Claims 1, 3-18, 20 and 21 and, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference between the undersigned and the Examiner would help remove such impediments, the undersigned respectfully

requests such a telephone conference.

Respectfully submitted,

GUNN & LEE, P.C. 700 North St. Mary's Street, Suite 1500 San Antonio, TX 78205-3596 (210) 886-9500 (210) 886-9883 (Fax)

Miguel Villarreal, Jr.

Regis. No. 55,700

JETICOLOGY OSMETICOLOGY









Chemical Publishing. Co., Inc. Xva York, X.Y.

Harry's Cosmeticology

Eighth Edition

Edited by Martin M. Rieger, Ph.D.



Chemical Publishing Co., Inc.

New York

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Chemical Publishing Co., Inc., 192 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10016

© 2000 by Chemical Publishing Co., Inc. All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Harry, Ralph Gordon, 1908-

[Cosmeticology]

Harry's cosmeticology-8th ed./edited by Martin M. Rieger.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8206-0372-4

1. Cosmetics. I. Title: Cosmeticology. II. Rieger, Martin M., 1920- III. Title.

TP983 H32 2000

668'.55-dc21

00-035900

Made in the United States of America

ハーマン					
27 mm 5 7 mm 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Date: 01.03.01	Date: 01.04.01	Date: 01.05.01	Date: 01.08.01	Date: 01.09.01
	Experiment 01	Experiment 02	Experiment 03	Experiment 04	Experiment 05
The TRADEMARY	%	%	%	%	%
Ultra Fine Dead Sea Minerals*	30.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	55.0
Palm oil	25.0	0.62	25.0	25.0	21.0
Soy Bean oil	17.0	12	14	10	9.0
Olive oil	14.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Jojoba oil	5.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
Beeswax	5.0	4.5	4.0	3.0	3.0
Jojoba wax Peg 120	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Cashew husk oil ethoxylate	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Coconut oil	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Vitamin E oil (d alpha tocopherols)	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10
Vitamin E oil (mixed tocopherols)	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05
Rosewood oil	.34	.39	.44	.44	.44
Lavender oil	.24	.29	.34	.34	.34
Chamomile oil	.30	.20	.20	.20	.20
Calendula oil	.12	.12	.02	.02	.02
RESULTS	Oily feel. Nice	Oily feel.	Decent feel,	Decent feel.	Unacceptable
Note: Experiments conducted under optimal laboratory conditions utilizing state of the art	scent.	Improved scent.	Excellent scent.	Excellent scent.	feel. Excellent
equipment. This first formula intended for	Ollacceptable	Superior	in sugar actor	Suspension with	scelic. Relitation
use as scrubs. Utilizing even finer version of processed Ultra Fine Dead Sea Minerals will	Ouestionable	Ouestionable	Slight over thick	slight separation	no separation but
products can be made accordingly. Previous	suspension.	suspension.	Immediate effect	Near ideal	OVER thick w/too
experiments conducted with native Dead Sea	Immediate effect	Immediate effect		thickness.	much salts for
unacceptable results. Conclusion: Native	on skin is not as	on skin is not as	residue feel	Immediate effect	feel. Immediate
Ultra Fine and extra Ultra Fine granularities	Significant	Significant	Increase salts to	intended with	not as intended.
of 100% less than 1mm*, in order for	unpleasant feel	unpleasant feel	target level 50 %	very slight	Lower salts level
achieve maximum benefit for consumer as intended with proper feel and effectiveness.	after use.	after use.	next.	residue feel.	4 % in next test to end.

ent 08 Experiment 09	Experiment 10
51.0	51.0
25.0	25.0
8.5	9.0
5.0	5.0
3.0	3.0
3.5	3.0
1.0	1.0
1.0	1.0
1.0	1.0
.10	.10
.05	.05
.44	.44
.34	.34
.20	.20
.02	.02
el, Excellent feel,	Excellent feel,
scent and	scent and
appearance.	appearance.
	Remains in
	no separation.
	Ideal thickness.
ffect	
<u> </u>	intended. No
	residue.
residue.	FINAL & BEST
	TOR
51.0 8.0 8.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	hick.